

IN THE MATTER OF ACCEPTING THE RESIGNATION FROM AN EMPLOYEE AS THE SOCIAL WORKER 2 POSITION AT JOB AND FAMILY SERVICES DEPARTMENT.

The Board of County Commissioners of Auglaize County, Ohio met in regular session on the 28th day of August, 2025.

Commissioner Bergman moved the adoption of the following:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners received correspondence from Job and Family Services Department Director that an employee, Tesla Huber, is resigning from her position as the Social Worker 2 position effective September 8, 2025. She also thanked the Job and Family Services Department for the opportunity.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners, Auglaize County, Ohio does hereby accept the resignation of the Job and Family Services Department employee as the Social Worker 2 Position effective September 8, 2025.

Commissioner Spencer seconded the Resolution and upon the roll being called, the vote resulted in the adoption of the Resolution as follows:

Adopted this
28th day of
August, 2025

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
AUGLAIZE COUNTY, OHIO

David Bambauer, yes
David Bambauer

John N. Bergman, yes
John N. Bergman

Douglas A. Spencer, yes
Douglas A. Spencer

cc: ✓ Job & Family Services
✓ Tesla Huber
✓ Auditor

IN THE MATTER OF AUTHORIZING BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS.

The Board of County Commissioners of Auglaize County, Ohio met in regular session on the 28th day of August, 2025.

Commissioner Bergman moved the adoption of the following:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the Board has been requested to authorize budget adjustments as follows: and,

DD Donations Fund:

Amount:	From:	To:
\$4,500.00	502.1502.530400 (Equipment)	502.1502.530600 (Contract Services)

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of County Commissioners of Auglaize County, Ohio, does hereby authorize the budget adjustments to show the changes as tabulated above.

Commissioner Spencer seconded the Resolution and upon the roll being called, the vote resulted in the adoption of the Resolution as follows:

Adopted this
28th day of
August, 2025

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
AUGLAIZE COUNTY, OHIO

David Bambauer, yes
David Bambauer

John N. Bergman, yes
John N. Bergman

Douglas A. Spencer, yes
Douglas A. Spencer

cc: County Auditor
DD Board

IN THE MATTER OF APPROVING THE CONTRACT FOR THE AUGLAIZE COUNTY MARCS TOWER PROJECT WITH VERDANTAS AND AUGLAIZE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS AND AUTHORIZING THE PRESIDENT OF THE BOARD TO EXECUTE SAID CONTRACT.

The Board of County Commissioners of Auglaize County, Ohio met in regular session on the 28th day of August, 2025.

Commissioner Bergman moved the adoption of the following:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the contract for the Auglaize County MARCS Tower Project was submitted to the Auglaize County Board of Commissioners from the Verdantas for said services for a lump sum fee of \$65,875.00. An hourly, not to exceed budget of \$14,465.00 and a reimbursable budget of \$3,500.00. Any other permit fees not included in the reimbursable budget are the sole responsibility of the Owner; and,

WHEREAS, the Board finds the contract from Verdantas to be in order.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners, Auglaize County, Ohio, does hereby approve the contract and does authorize the President of the Board of Auglaize County Commissioners to execute said contract; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the funds are appropriated from the Permanent Improvement Fund (041) for an amount \$87,340.00.

Commissioner Spencer seconded the Resolution and upon the roll being called, the vote resulted in the adoption of the Resolution as follows:

Adopted this
28th day of
August, 2025

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
AUGLAIZE COUNTY, OHIO

David Bambauer, yes
David Bambauer

John N. Bergman, yes
John N. Bergman

Douglas A. Spencer, Yes
Douglas A. Spencer

cc: Verdantas

June 26, 2025

Board of County Commissioners of Auglaize County Ohio
Attn: Eric Preston
209 S. Blackhoof Street, Room 201
Wapakoneta, OH 45895

RECEIVED
AUG - 7 2025
Board of
County Commissioners

RE: Auglaize County MARCS Tower | 018.000036097

Commissioner Daniels:

Thank You For Contacting Verdantas LLC (Verdantas) To Discuss Your New MARCS Communication Tower. Verdantas Is Pleased To Provide This Cost Proposal For Civil Engineering And Land Surveying Services.

Project Understanding

Verdantas Understands That The Highland County Commissioners Are Working With MARCS To Construct A New 400' Self-Supporting Emergency Communications Tower in Waynesfield, OH (Parcel ID No. N4102000400). Verdantas Understands That The County Commissioners Are Requesting A Complete Scope Of Services And Cost Associated With That Scope To Design, Permit, Publicly Bid, And Complete Construction Administration And Inspection Services For The New Tower.

With This Understanding, Verdantas Proposes The Following Scope Of Services.

Scope Of Services

Environmental Permitting

Building New Towers Or Adding Antennas To Existing Structures Must Comply With The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Environmental Review Rules. These Rules Ensure Protection Of Environmental And Historic Resources And Fulfill Obligations Under The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), And Endangered Species Act (ESA). Construction Of A New Communications Tower Requires:

- Approval From The State Or Local Governing Authority For The Proposal Site
- Compliance With FCC Rules Implementing The NEPA, Which Includes Separate Procedures For:
 - ESA; And
 - NHPA (Including Section 106);
- Due To The Tower's Height And Location, Construction Also Requires:
 - Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Notification; And
 - Antenna Structure Registration (ASR) With The FCC.

FCC Guidelines Also Specify That An Environmental Assessment (EA) Is Required Under NEPA:

- If The Facilities Will Be Located In An Officially Designated Wilderness Area, A Wildlife Preserve Area, Or A Flood Plain.
- If The Facilities May Physically Or Visually Affect A Property Significant In American History That Is Listed, Or Is Eligible For Listing, In The National Register Of Historic Places, As Determined In Accordance With The Nationwide Programmatic Agreement Or The Collocation Programmatic Agreement.

- If The Facilities May Affect Indian Religious Sites.
- If The Facilities Are To Be Located In Floodplains, And If The Facilities Will Not Be Placed At Least One Foot Above The Base Flood Elevation Of The Floodplain.
- If The Facilities May Affect Listed Threatened Or Endangered Species Or Designated Critical Habitats; Or Are Likely To Jeopardize The Continued Existence Of Any Proposed Endangered Or Threatened Species Or Likely To Result In The Destruction Or Adverse Modification Of Proposed Critical Habitats.
- If Construction Of The Facilities Will Involve Significant Change In Surface Features (E.G., Wetland Fill, Deforestation Or Water Diversion).
- If The Facilities Will Be Equipped With High Intensity White Lights And Will Be Located In A Residential Neighborhood (As Defined By The Applicable Zoning Law).
- If The Facilities Will Cause Human Exposure To Levels Of Radiofrequency Radiation In Excess Of Limits Defined By The Commission.
- If The Facilities Will Be Taller Than 450 Feet Above Ground Level (AGL).
- If The Processing Bureau Determines In Response To A Petition Or On Its Own Motion That The Proposed Facilities May Have A Significant Environmental Impact.

Since The Proposed Tower Is Over 450 Feet AGL, An EA Must Be Prepared And Coordinated With The FCC And Other Appropriate Agencies As Part Of The Permitting Process. Based Upon This Information Of The Project, Verdantas Offers The Following Environmental Permitting Tasks:

I. NEPA Compliance – Environmental & ESA

Verdantas's Ecological Scientist Will Perform A Site Visit, As Needed, To Confirm There Are No Ecologically Sensitive Features Present On-Site (I.E., Wetland, Endangered Species Habitat, Floodplains). Presence Of These Features Could Require Additional Permitting (I.E., Wetland Permitting).

Verdantas Will Coordinate With Ohio Department Of Natural Resources (ODNR) And The U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS) Regarding State And Federally Threatened And Endangered Species And Their Habitats And Other Sensitive Natural Resources. Specifically, USFWS Guidance Related To Towers Over 450 Feet AGL Will Be Incorporated Into The Final Design, Where Possible.

Verdantas Will Prepare An Environmental Assessment (EA) For The Proposed Tower To Evaluate Potentially Significant Impacts. The EA Will Encompass:

- A Comprehensive Description Of The Facilities, Including Supporting Structures And Appurtenances, Along With A Detailed Account Of The Site And The Surrounding Area And Its Uses. If High-Intensity Lighting Is Proposed Or Deployed Within A Residential Area, The EA Must Also Address The Lighting's Impact On Residents.
- A Statement Regarding The Zoning Classification Of The Site, As Well As Communications With Or Determinations Made By Zoning, Planning, Environmental, Or Other Local, State, Or Federal Authorities Concerning Environmental Effects.
- A Statement Indicating Whether The Construction Of The Facilities Has Been A Source Of Controversy On Environmental Grounds Within The Local Community.
- An Analysis Of Environmental And Other Considerations That Influenced The Selection Of The Particular Site And Facility. This Includes The Nature And Extent Of Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Effects And Any Alternative Sites Or Facilities That Have Been Or Might Reasonably Be Considered.



- An Assessment Of The Potential Environmental Impacts Of The Facilities, Addressing All Categories Identified In Section 1.1307(A) And (B). If The Structure Exceeds 450 Feet Above Ground Level, This Assessment Must Also Consider The Effects On Migratory Birds.

If No Significant Impacts Are Found After A 30-Day Agency Review, The FCC Issues A Finding Of No Significant Effect (FONSI). The EA Must Be Submitted As Part Of The ASR Process.

Fee For Task I..... \$4,850

II. NEPA Compliance – NHPA/Section 106 Tribal Coordination And FCC 620 Submission

The FCC Requires That The Construction Of Towers To Be In Compliance With Section 106 Of The National Historic Preservation Act Of 1966 (NHPA). According To Section 106 Of The NHPA, Any Potential Adverse Effects Of Previously Documented Cultural Resources At The Proposed Site Must Be Carefully Considered And Documented. Subsequently, This Information Must Be Submitted To And Reviewed By Interested Tribal Parties And The Ohio Historic Preservation Office (Ohio History Connection). This Task Includes Subcontractor Costs To Complete The Required Fieldwork And Report For A Phase I Cultural Resources Report.

The FCC Also Requires Coordination With Native American Tribal Organizations To Determine The Effect On Ancestral Sites And Their Heritage. Tribal Coordination Is Completed Through The FCC-Tribal Consultation Notification System (TCNS) And Letters Of "No Effect To Tribal Resources" Must Be Issued By The Tribes Before The Section 106 Process Can Be Finalized And The FCC Filing Completed.

Verdantas Will Submit The Project For Review In The TCNS And Complete Necessary Coordination With Interested Tribes. Verdantas Will Prepare And File The Online FCC Form 620 And Submit The Completed Package To The Ohio State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) For Review. The FCC Form 620 Will Include Information About The Tower, Mapping, Contact Information For Contractors And Tower Owners, Archaeological And Historical Information About The Site, Tribal Coordination Letters, Agency And Stakeholder Communications, Etc. The SHPO Has Up To 30 Days To Concur With The Finding Of No Affect On Historical Resources.

Following The Form 620 Submittal, Findings Of Each Entity Will Be Compiled And Presented In The Final EA Report For Documentation Purposes.

Fee For Task II..... \$11,020

III. FAA And ODOT Aviation Studies

Notification To The FAA Is Required For Any Tower Construction Or Alteration Of An Antenna Structure That Is Registered With The Commission's Antenna Structure Registration System. Towers That Meet Certain Height And Location Criteria (Generally Towers More Than 200 Feet Above Ground Level Or Located Near An Airport) Require Notice To The FAA And ASR Registration With The FCC. Prior To Completing Registration With The Commission, An Antenna Structure Owner Must Have Notified The FAA (Via FAA Form 7460-1) And Received A Final Determination Of 'No Hazard' From The FAA.

Verdantas Will Coordinate With MARCS On The FAA And ODOT Aviation Submittals To Determine The Effect Of The Proposed Tower On Air Navigation. The FAA Has 45-Days From The Time A Request For An FAA Obstruction Evaluation Is Submitted To Perform An Aeronautical Study And Issue An "Effect On Air Navigation" Letter To Be Submitted In The FCC Filing Process. This Task Assumes That MARCS Will Submit The Study Requests Online And Verdantas Will Provide The Necessary 1A Survey Letter.

Fee For Task III..... \$3,720



IV. Antenna Structure Registration (ASR)

The Antenna Structure Registration (ASR) System Is An Online System That Stores The Location, Height, Marking And Lighting, And Other Information On All Antenna Structures That Are Registered With The FCC. Antenna Structure Owners Must Use The ASR System To File New Antenna Structure Registrations.

An Antenna Structure Must Be Registered If The Antenna Structure Is Taller Than 200 Feet Above Ground Level Or May Interfere With The Flight Path Of A Nearby Airport Unless It Meets An Exemption Criterion Outlined In 47 CFR 17.7(E). Verdantas Will Prepare The FCC Form 854 And File All Documentation Electronically Through The FCC Antenna Registration System (ASR). After Submitting Part One Of Form 854, Verdantas Will Provide Local Notice Of The Proposed Tower Registration By Publication In A Local Newspaper For One Day. A Public Notice Period Of 30 Days Is Provided For Interested Parties To Comment On The Proposed Antenna Registration. Assuming No Further Environmental Review Is Required, And The FAA Study Is Complete, 10-12 Days After The 30-Day National Notice Period, Verdantas Will File The Part Two Certification To Finalize The ASR.

Note That As Part Of This Submittal, The Tower Ownership Is Initially Assigned To The Party Submitting The ASR. Following Final ASR Processing, An Ownership Change Will Be Completed To Modify The ASR Tower Registration To The Ultimate Owner's Federal Registration Number (FRN).

Fee For Task IV..... \$1,040

V. FCC Form 620 (New Tower) Filing

This proposal is based upon each entity issuing a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI). Should any of the above entities identify these locations as an impact to their respective jurisdiction or require additional studies or information beyond the initial submittal, Verdantas can assess the requirements and proceed as additional services. Verdantas will coordinate the public notice and FCC Environmental Assessment filing process for the client.

Verdantas will prepare and file the online FCC Form 620 and submit the completed package to the Ohio State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) for review. The FCC Form 620 will include information about the tower, mapping, contact information for contractors and tower owners, archaeological and historical information about the site, tribal coordination letters, agency and stakeholder communications, etc. The SHO has up to 30 days to concur with the finding of no significant impact.

Following the Form 620 submittal, findings of each entity will be compiled and presented in the Final EA Report for documentation purposes.

Fee for Item V..... \$4,260

Surveying & Engineering

V. Topographic And Boundary Survey

Verdantas Will Perform A Topographic And Boundary Survey Of The Area for Development Property Located on Parcel N4102000400. Topographic Survey Consists Of Locating Physical Improvements, Drainage, And Utilities, As Observed By Aboveground Features Or As Located By OUPS Or The Facility Owner. This Information Will Be Used As The Base-Mapping For The



Subsequent Design Portion Of This Proposal. Verdantas Will Set 2 Benchmarks For Use In Construction.

Fee For Task V.....\$3,780

VI. Soil Borings

Perform Subsurface Exploration And Obtain Geotechnical Information Needed To Assess Foundation Design Parameters For The Proposed Structures. The Boring Program Is Based On The Information Provided To PSI On Past Experience With Similar Type Of Projects With The Client. We Will Complete Engineering Evaluations And Develop Opinions And/Or Recommendations Based On This Scope Which Include But Are Not Limited To The Following Areas:

- A. A General Assessment Of Area Geology Based On Our Local Knowledge And Study Of Available Geological Literature;
- B. Foundation System Evaluations And The Assessment Of The Feasibility Of Utilizing Shallow Foundations;
- C. Design Parameters Required For The Foundation System, Including Allowable Bearing Pressure, Minimum Foundation Width, Foundation Bearing Levels, And Estimated Total And Differential Settlements.
- D. Site Preparation As Needed For Support Of Foundations And Slabs;
- E. General Location, Description Of Materials Encountered In The Borings Which May Interfere With Construction Progress Or Structure Performance, Including Existing Fills, Cobbles/Boulders, Or Organic Soils;
- F. Identification Of Water Levels Encountered At The Time Of Drilling;
- G. If Odors, Soil Staining, Or Other Visually Evident Indications Of Possible Contamination Are Found While Drilling, The Client Will Be Notified And The Conditions Will Be Reported On The Boring Logs;
- H. Recommendations For Fill If Any, Including The Selection Of Materials For Use And Procedures For Placement.
- I. The Final Report Will Incorporate The Aforementioned Design Parameters And Recommendations, With Attachments Including A Boring Location Drawing, And Computer Generated Boring Logs.

PSI Proposes That The Subsurface Conditions Be Explored By Soil Borings Following The Provided Drilling Program. Based On Available Information From The ODNR Websites And Topography Of The Site, PSI Believes That Rock Will Be Relatively Shallow. PSI Plans To Core Rock In One Of The Tower Borings. The Amount Of Rock Coring Will Be Dependent Upon How Shallow Rock Is Encountered.

If Rock Is Encountered At A Depth Shallower Than 10 Feet, Then 30 Feet Of Rock Will Be Cored. If Rock Is Encountered At A Depth Between 10 And 30 Feet, Then 20 Feet Of Rock Will Be Cored. If Rock Is Encountered At A Depth Greater Than 30 Feet, Then 10 Feet Of Rock Will Be Cored. The Remaining Borings Will Terminate At Least 5 Feet Into Weathered Rock Or At Auger Refusal Conditions, Whatever Comes First.

The Final Engineering Report Will Contain A Summary Of The Tasks Accomplished, The Field And Laboratory Data Gathered, Subsurface Conditions Encountered, And Our Engineering Recommendations As Outlined In The Scope Of Services Above.



Fee For Task VI.....\$13,325

VII. Engineering Plans & Specification

Verdantas Will Prepare And Provide Engineering Plans And Specifications For The Proposed Towers And Necessary Site Improvements. This Item Will Include The Following:

- A. Title Sheet
- B. General Notes And Specifications
- C. Site Layout Plan And Details
- D. Tower Elevation And Antenna Plan
- E. Site Fencing Plan And Details
- F. Grounding Plans
- G. Shelter Structural Foundation Design (To Be Based Upon Geotechnical Report For The Site)
- H. Pre-Cast Concrete Shelter Details (Provided By Thermobond)
- I. Preliminary Tower Design And Cost (To Be Coordinated With, And Provided By The Tower Manufacturer)
- J. Preliminary Tower Foundation Structural Details (To Be Coordinated With, And Provided By The Tower Manufacturer)
- K. Electrical Plans & Fiber (Provided By Tec Inc.)

Fee For Task VII.....\$15,670

VIII. Additional Engineering, If Required

The Site Will Require Either A Natural Gas Service Or A Propane Tank For The Onsite Backup Generator. At Present Time It Is Unclear If Natural Gas Is Within The Proximity Of The Project Therefore, An Additional Engineering Design Fee Has Been Included Below Should It Be Necessary To Include A Natural Gas Service And Service Ticket With The Gas Company. Should This Phase Be Unnecessary Due To The Lack Of Natural Gas Mainlines For Service To The Site, This Task Will Be Non-Performed And The Fee Will Be Returned To The County Commissioner's Office Or Applied Otherwise As Needed Based Upon Direction From The County Commissioner's Office.

Fee For Task VIII.....\$1,380

IX. Bidding

Services For This Item Includes One (1) Round Of Bidding. Should Circumstances Require Re-Advertisement Or Re-Bidding, Verdantas Will Perform Additional Bidding Items As Additional Services.

- A. Submit Bid Documents To The County And MARCS For Review Prior To Advertisement. These Documents Will Include: Bid Book, Bid Tabulation Sheet W/ Quantities, Engineering Estimate, And Final Construction Plans.
- B. Provide Copies At The County For Review By Prospective Bidders. Verdantas Shall Sell All Specifications And Bid Documents From The Newark, OH Office. Payment For Hard Copies Provided To The Contractors Will Be Made Payable To Verdantas. A Digital Option For Access To Documents Will Be Available At Not Cost.
- C. Address RFI's And Issue Addendums As Necessary Within The Allowable Timeframe Detailed Within The Bid Documents;



- D. Attend A Pre-Bid Meeting If Required, Attend The Bid Opening, And Compare Submitted Bid Documents For Accuracy And Completeness, Then Issue A Recommendation For Award To The County And MARCS.

Fee For Task IX.....\$6,830

X. Construction Administration

Verdantas Proposes To Provide Construction Administration Services On An Hourly Basis Not To Exceed, As Needed By The County Or MARCS. Items Anticipated For Verdantas To Perform Include The Following:

- A. Attend A Pre-Construction/Kick-Off Meeting;
- B. Review And Approval Of Contractor Pay Application Requests;
- C. Answer RFIS And Address Field Changes As Needed;
- D. Attend Final Walk Through And Create Punch List For Contractor To Complete.

Verdantas Will Notify The Client If/When The Utilized Cost Approaches The Not-To-Exceed Value Given Below.

Fee For Task X..... Hourly, Not To Exceed \$7,900

XI. Geotechnical Site Visits

The State Of Ohio Industrial Compliance Office Will Be The Permitting Authority For Construction For Structural, Electrical, And Concrete. The List Provided Below Are The Standard Inspection Items That Are Required By The State Of Ohio Per Their Current Special Inspections Form And The Ohio Revised:

- A. Concrete Field Testing Services;
- B. Foundation Inspections;
- C. Sample Pickup And Testing;
- D. Reinforcing Steel Observations.

As The Fieldwork Is Dependent Upon Both Weather Conditions And Contractor Coordination, An Hourly Not To Exceed Budget Has Been Provided For This Phase Of Work. Assuming No Catastrophe Events Occur, The Inspection Costs Will Not Exceed The Amount Provided For This Phase And Any Funds Not Used Will Be Returned To The County Commissioner's Office.

Fee For Task XI..... Hourly, Not To Exceed \$6,565

XII. Reimbursable Expenses

Verdantas Has Included Below The Estimated Fees That Will Be Incurred During The Permitting And Advertising Of The Plans Through The Public Bidding Process. These Fees Are Based On Past Projects And Are Intended To Give A Budget Amount And Not Be Considered Final Fees. Verdantas Will Provide The County With Documentation For The Fees As They Are Incurred. The Budget Below Is Intended To Include The Fees Of The Following Listed Items:

Ohio Department Of Compliance (ODC) Permit Fees:

- A. Fence
- B. Shelter/Electrical
- C. Tower
- D. Occupancy Permit

Estimated Advertisement Fee Of \$850.00 Based On Past Projects For The Tribal Notification And Public Bid Notification.



Verdantas Will Notify The Client If/When The Utilized Cost Approaches The Not-To-Exceed Value Given Below.

Fee For Task XII..... Estimated Reimbursable Budget \$3,500

Compensation

Verdantas, LLC Will Provide The Above Services For A Lump Sum Fee Of **\$65,875.00 (Sixty-Five Thousand, Eight Hundred Seventy Five Dollars)**, An Hourly, Not To Exceed Budget Of **\$14,465.00 (Fourteen Thousand, Four Hundred Sixty Five Dollars)**, And A Reimbursable Budget Of **\$3,500.00 (Three Thousand Five Hundred Dollars)**. Any Other Permit Fees Not Included In The Reimbursable Budget Above Are The Sole Responsibility Of The Owner And Shall Be Paid By The Owner Directly To The Agency Requiring The Fee.

Exclusions From Scope Of Work

- Wetland Or Floodplain Permitting
- Endangered Species Surveys
- Construction Inspections
- Construction Stakeout
- Record Drawing (As-Built Drawing) Preparation
- Tree Survey Or Tree Preservation Plan
- Traffic Impact Study, Signal Design, Public Roadway Improvements (Onsite Or Offsite)
- Streetscape, Site Irrigation, Structural Or Decorative Walls Or Other Landscaping Hardscapes
- Offsite Utility Extensions, Studies, Or Evaluations; Sanitary Sewer Or Water Mainline Design Or Calculations
- ADAAG (ADA) Barrier Assessments
- Color Renderings, Presentation Graphics Or Signage Permitting
- Building Department Coordination Related To Architectural Adjustments Requiring Civil Plan Modifications

Should The Client Want Verdantas To Perform Any Or All Of The Above Items, A Separate Proposal Or Estimated Cost Can Be Developed After The Construction Plans Are Completed And Approved. Any Additional Work Resulting In Changes Made After The Owner's Approval Of The Concept Design Will Be Additional Services And Billed As Outlined In The Attached Terms And Conditions, According To Our Current Hourly Rates At The Time The Services Are Performed. All Work Will Be Performed In Accordance With The Attached Terms And Conditions.

By The Signing Of This Agreement The Undersigned Takes Full Responsibility For The Payment Of The Fee. This Responsibility Cannot Be Assigned To A Third Party Without The Written Approval Of Verdantas. The Above Fee Is Due And Payable Upon Completion Of The Work And/Or Percent Of Completion On A Monthly Basis And Is Not Contingent On Real Estate Closings, Transfer Of Property, Or Any Other Outside (Third Party) Agreements.

Working With Verdantas On This Project Will Provide An Understanding Of The Project Issues As Demonstrated In Our Scope Of Services, A Timely And Accurate Completion Of The Work, And Overall Value To Your Project Stemming From Our Commitment To Providing Quality, Personalized Service To You. Kindly Sign And Return One Of The Originals Of The Proposal To Our Office, And We Will Provide You With Responsive Action Adding It To Our Current Workload Schedule Immediately.


Sincerely,
Verdantas LLC



Amanda E Spencer
Director Of Land Development

Z:\Project Files\AA-BZ\BOCCHC\33795 - Highland County MARCS Tower\Admin\Proposals-Contracts\33795_Fee_Proposal_V1

We Accept, On This 28th Day Of August, 2025, The Above
Proposal For Professional Engineering And/Or Land Surveying Services.


Signature



IN THE MATTER OF ACCEPTING THE RESIGNATION FROM AN EMPLOYEE AS THE SOCIAL WORKER 2 POSITION AT JOB AND FAMILY SERVICES DEPARTMENT.

The Board of County Commissioners of Auglaize County, Ohio met in regular session on the 28th day of August, 2025.

Commissioner Bergman moved the adoption of the following:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners received correspondence from Job and Family Services Department Director that an employee, Mary Stelzer, is resigning from her position as the Social Worker 2 position effective September 12, 2025. She also thanked the Job and Family Services Department for the opportunity.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners, Auglaize County, Ohio does hereby accept the resignation of the Job and Family Services Department employee as the Social Worker 2 Position effective September 12, 2025.

Commissioner Spencer seconded the Resolution and upon the roll being called, the vote resulted in the adoption of the Resolution as follows:

Adopted this
28th day of
August, 2025

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
AUGLAIZE COUNTY, OHIO

David Bambauer, yes
David Bambauer

John N. Bergman, yes
John N. Bergman

Douglas A. Spencer, yes
Douglas A. Spencer

cc: Job & Family Services
Mary Stelzer
Auditor

IN THE MATTER OF AMENDING THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION AS REQUESTED BY THE HTPD EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.

The Board of County Commissioners of Auglaize County, Ohio met in regular session on the 28th day of August, 2025.

Commissioner Bergman moved the adoption of the following:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, under date of January 2, 2025, the Annual Appropriation for Auglaize County was accepted, having been prepared with the 2025 Annual Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources which was given to the Board of County Commissioners by the County Auditor; and,

WHEREAS, County Auditor's Office informed the Board that an amendment was made to the Annual Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Revenue for the HTPD – PI-Donations Fund (951) by \$107,653.73; and,

WHEREAS, the HTPD Executive Director has requested that the Board amend the 2025 Annual Appropriation to reflect the following increase:

HTPD – PI Donations Fund		
951.0951.530400	Capital Outlay	\$107,653.73

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of County Commissioners of Auglaize County, Ohio, does hereby order the 2025 Annual Appropriation Resolution be amended to show the changes as tabulated above.

Commissioner Spencer seconded the Resolution and upon the roll being called, the vote resulted in the adoption of the Resolution as follows:

Adopted this
28th day of
August, 2025

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
AUGLAIZE COUNTY, OHIO

David Bambauer, yes
David Bambauer

John N. Bergman, yes
John N. Bergman

Douglas A. Spencer, Yes
Douglas A. Spencer

cc: County Auditor
County Administrator
HTPD

**IN THE MATTER OF SETTING DATE, TIME AND LOCATION AT WHICH TO CONVENE
SPECIAL SESSION OF THE AUGLAIZE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS.**

The Board of County Commissioners of Auglaize County, Ohio met in regular session on the 28th day of August, 2025.

Commissioner Bergman moved the adoption of the following:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 305.07, "the Board of County Commissioners may provide by Resolution for the holding of special sessions"; and,

WHEREAS, the Board must set special session on Friday, August 29, 2025 from 8:30 a.m. – 11:30 a.m. to interview for Commissioners' Clerk position in Commissioners' Chambers, Wapakoneta, Ohio or until the conclusion of the business for the purposes stated above.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of County Commissioners of Auglaize County, Ohio, does hereby set Friday, August 29, 2025 from 8:30 a.m. – 11:30 a.m. or until such time as the meeting is concluded, at the location so named above as the date, time and place to convene a special sessions for said Board; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this Resolution be provided to The Evening Leader, The Wapakoneta Daily News, The Lima News and The Daily Standard to ensure adequate public notice of these special sessions.

Commissioner Spencer seconded the Resolution and upon the roll being called, the vote resulted in the adoption of the Resolution as follows:

Adopted this
28th day of
August, 2025

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
AUGLAIZE COUNTY, OHIO

David Bambauer, yes
David Bambauer

John N. Bergman, yes
John N. Bergman

Douglas A. Spencer, Yes
Douglas A. Spencer

cc: newspapers
✓✓✓

County Commissioners Office
Auglaize County, Ohio
August 28, 2025

NO. #25-448

IN THE MATTER OF AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY AUDITOR TO DRAW WARRANTS FOR THEN AND NOW CERTIFICATE PAYMENTS.

The Board of County Commissioners of Auglaize County, Ohio met in regular session on the 28th day of August, 2025.

Commissioner Bergman moved the adoption of the following:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the practice of using "Then and Now Certificates" has been instituted by the County Auditor.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of County Commissioners, Auglaize County, Ohio, the taxing authority for Auglaize County, having thirty (30) days to approve payment by resolution from receipt of "Then and Now Certificates", does hereby approve the following:

<u>Check No.</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Vendor</u>
491796	1067.20	FIRST NATIONAL BANK
491815	51320.65	WUEBKER DRAINAGE, LLC
491859	57560.50	JJ CUNNINGHAM, LLC

Commissioner Spencer seconded the Resolution and upon the roll being called, the vote resulted in the adoption of the Resolution as follows:

Adopted this
28th day
August, 2025

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
AUGLAIZE COUNTY, OHIO

David Bambauer, yes
David Bambauer

John N. Bergman, yes
John N. Bergman

Douglas A. Spencer, yes
Douglas A. Spencer

cc: County Auditor

RE: JOINT BOARD OF ALLEN AND AUGLAIZE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS CERTIFIES COLLECTION ASSESSMENT FOR MAINTENANCE ON THE LITTLE OTTAWA RIVER PROJECT #1260 TO THE AUDITORS OF ALLEN AND AUGLAIZE COUNTY, OHIO.

The Joint Board of County Commissioners of Allen and Auglaize County, Ohio met in regular session via Gotomeeting.com on the 28th day of August, 2025 with the following members present:

Allen County:	Beth Seibert	<u>Present</u>
	Cory Noonan	<u>Present</u>
	Brian Winegardner	<u>Present</u>
Auglaize County:	Douglas Spencer	<u>Present</u>
	John Bergman	<u>Present</u>
	David Bambauer	<u>Present</u>

Commissioner Seibert moved the adoption of the following:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Jarrod Wehinger, Allen Soil and Water Conservation District Nutrient Management Specialist, has submitted a request that the Joint Board of Commissioners of Allen and Auglaize County, Ohio, place a \$2.00 per parcel maintenance assessment on Little Ottawa River Project #1260; and

WHEREAS, Jarrod Wehinger, Allen Soil and Water Conservation District Nutrient Management Specialist, requests that said maintenance assessment be certified to the Auditors of Allen and Auglaize County for the Little Ottawa River Project #1260, so that the amount of assessment for maintenance can be placed on the tax duplicate over the next one (1) year; and

WHEREAS, the Joint Board of County Commissioners of Allen and Auglaize County, Ohio, concurs with the recommendation of the Allen Soil and Water Conservation District and hereby orders certification of same; now therefore

BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE JOINT BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF ALLEN AND AUGLAIZE COUNTY, OHIO, hereby certifies to the Allen County Auditor and Auglaize County Auditor, a \$2.00 per parcel maintenance assessment for the Little Ottawa River Project #1260 and that the amount of assessment for maintenance be placed on the 2025 tax duplicate to be collected in 2026; and be it further

RESOLVED, this Joint Board of County Commissioners hereby finds and determines that all formal actions relative to the adoption of this resolution were taken in an open meeting of this Joint Board; and that all deliberations of this Board and of its committees, if any, which resulted in formal action, were taken in meetings open to the public in full compliance with applicable legal requirements, including Section 121.22 of the Revised Code.

Commissioner Spencer seconded the resolution and upon the roll being called, the vote resulted as follows:

Adopted this 28th
day of August, 2025

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
ALLEN COUNTY, OHIO**

<u>Beth Seibert</u>	<u>yes</u>
Beth Seibert	
<u>Cory Noonan</u>	<u>yes</u>
Cory Noonan	
<u>Brian Winegardner</u>	<u>yes</u>
Brian Winegardner	

**BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
AUGLAIZE COUNTY, OHIO**

<u>John Bergman</u>	<u>yes</u>
John Bergman	
<u>Douglas Spencer</u>	<u>yes</u>
Douglas Spencer	
<u>David Bambauer</u>	<u>yes</u>
David Bambauer	

Brittany N. Woods
Brittany N. Woods, Clerk
Allen County, Ohio

RE: **JOINT BOARD OF ALLEN AND AUGLAIZE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS CERTIFIES COLLECTION ASSESSMENTS FOR MAINTENANCE ON VARIOUS DITCHES TO THE ALLEN AND AUGLAIZE COUNTY AUDITORS.**

The Joint Board of County Commissioners of Allen and Auglaize County, Ohio met in regular session via Gotomeeting.com on the 29th day of August, 2024 with the following members present:

Allen: Beth Seibert	<u>Present</u>	Auglaize: Douglas Spencer	<u>Present</u>
Cory Noonan	<u>Present</u>	David Bambauer	<u>Present</u>
Brian Winegardner	<u>Present</u>	John Bergman	<u>Present</u>

Commissioner Spencer moved the adoption of the following:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Nathan Davis, Assistant Allen County Drainage Engineer, is requesting that the Joint Board of Commissioners of Allen and Auglaize County, Ohio, approve the assessments for permanent maintenance on the following ditches; and

(See Attached "Exhibit A")

WHEREAS, Nathan Davis, Assistant Allen County Drainage Engineer, recommends that the rates as outlined in the attached, be certified to the Auditors of Allen and Auglaize County so that the amount of assessment for maintenance can be placed on the tax duplicate for the attached listed ditches; and

WHEREAS, the Joint Boards concur with the recommendation of the Assistant Allen County Drainage Engineer and hereby orders certification of same; now therefore

BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE JOINT BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF ALLEN AND AUGLAIZE COUNTY, OHIO, hereby certifies to the Allen County Auditor and Auglaize County Auditor, the attached listed ditches and the amount of assessment for maintenance to be placed on the 2025 tax duplicate to be collected in 2026; and be it further

RESOLVED, this Joint Board of County Commissioners hereby finds and determines that all formal actions relative to the adoption of this resolution were taken in an open meeting of this Board; and that all deliberations of this Board and of its committees, if any, which resulted in formal action, were taken in meetings open to the public in full compliance with applicable legal requirements, including Section 121.22 of the Revised Code.

Commissioner Seibert seconded the resolution and upon the roll being called, the vote resulted as follows:

Adopted this 28th
day of August, 2025

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
ALLEN COUNTY, OHIO**

Beth Seibert yes
Beth Seibert

Cory Noonan yes
Cory Noonan

Brian Winegardner yes
Brian Winegardner

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
AUGLAIZE COUNTY, OHIO**

Douglas Spencer yes
Douglas Spencer

David Bambauer yes
David Bambauer

John Bergman yes
John Bergman

Brittany N. Woods
Brittany N. Woods
Clerk of Joint Board
Allen County, Ohio

County Commissioners' Office
August 28, 2025 Resolution #647-25

RE: JOINT BOARD OF ALLEN AND HANCOCK COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
CERTIFIES COLLECTION ASSESSMENTS FOR MAINTENANCE ON THE
MOSER JOINT COUNTY DITCH PROJECT #1266 TO THE ALLEN AND
HANCOCK COUNTY AUDITORS.

The Joint Board of County Commissioners of Allen and Hancock County, Ohio met via
Gotomceting.com on the 28th day of August, 2025 with the following members present:

Allen: Beth Seibert	<u>Present</u>	Hancock: Jeffrey Hunker	<u>Present</u>
Cory Noonan	<u>Present</u>	Timothy Bechtol	<u>Present</u>
Brian Winegardner	<u>Present</u>	William Bateson	<u>Present</u>

Commissioner Seibert moved the adoption of the following:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Nathan Davis, Allen County Assistant Drainage Engineer, is requesting that
the Joint Boards of Commissioners of Allen and Hancock County, Ohio, approve the assessment
for permanent maintenance on the Moser Joint County Ditch Project #1266; and

(See Attached "Exhibit A")

WHEREAS, Nathan Davis, Allen County Assistant Drainage Engineer, recommends that
the rate as outlined in the attached, be certified to the Auditors of Allen and Hancock County so
that the amount of assessment for maintenance can be placed on the tax duplicate for the Moser
Joint County Ditch Project #1266; and

WHEREAS, the Joint Boards concur with the recommendation of the Allen County
Drainage Engincer and hereby orders certification; now therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE JOINT BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF ALLEN AND HANCOCK COUNTY, OHIO**, hereby certifies to the Allen County Auditor
and Hancock County Auditor, the Moser Joint County Ditch Project #1266 and that the amount of
assessment for maintenance be placed on the 2025 tax duplicate to be collected in 2026; and be it
further

RESOLVED, this Board of County Commissioners hereby finds and determines that all
formal actions relative to the adoption of this resolution were taken in an open meeting of this
Board; and that all deliberations of this Board and of its committees, if any, which resulted in
formal action, were taken in meetings open to the public in full compliance with applicable legal
requirements, including Section 121.22 of the Revised Code.

Commissioner Hunker seconded the resolution and upon the roll being called, the
vote resulted as follows:

Adopted this 28th
day of August, 2025

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONER
ALLEN COUNTY, OHIO

Beth Seibert yes
Beth Seibert

Cory Noonan yes
Cory Noonan

Brian Winegardner yes
Brian Winegardner

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
AUGLAIZE COUNTY, OHIO

Douglas Spence : yes
Douglas Spence

David Bambauer : yes
David Bambauer

John Bergman : yes
John Bergman

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
MERCER COUNTY, OHIO

Rick Muhlenkamp : yes
Rick Muhlenkamp

Brian Miller : yes
Brian Miller

David Buschur : yes
David Buschur

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
PUTNAM COUNTY, OHIO

John Schlumbohm : yes
John Schlumbohm

Brad Brubaker : yes
Brad Brubaker

Tim Wehri : yes
Tim Wehri

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
VAN WERT COUNTY, OHIO

Stan Owens : yes
Stan Owens

Thad Lichtensteiger : yes
Thad Lichtensteiger

Todd Wolfrum : yes
Todd Wolfrum

Brittany N. Woods
Brittany N. Woods
Clerk of Board
Allen County, Ohio

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
HANCOCK COUNTY, OHIO**

_____: yes
Jeffrey Hunker

_____: yes
Timothy Bechtol

_____: yes
William Bateson

Brittany N. Woods
Brittany N. Woods
Clerk of Board
Allen County, Ohio